


The book was found

# The Arbitrary And Capricious Standard Under The APA (Litigator Series)



## Synopsis

THIS CASEBOOK contains a selection of 225 U. S. Court of Appeals decisions that analyze, interpret and apply the arbitrary and capricious standard under the Administrative Procedure Act. The selection of decisions spans from 2004 to the date of publication. The APA requires a court to "hold unlawful and set aside agency action, findings, and conclusions found to be 'arbitrary, capricious, an abuse of discretion, or otherwise not in accordance with law.' 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A). Agency action is 'arbitrary and capricious if the agency has . . . offered an explanation for its decision that runs counter to the evidence before the agency, or is so implausible that it could not be ascribed to a difference in view or the product of agency expertise.' Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n of the U.S., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 463 U.S. 29, 43 (1983). Organized Village of Kake v. United States Department of Agriculture, (9th Cir. 2015). Under the APA, a party aggrieved by agency action is generally 'entitled to judicial review thereof.' 5 U.S.C. § 702; see Conyers v. Rossides, 558 F.3d 137, 143 (2d Cir. 2009) (noting the 'strong presumption that Congress intends judicial review of administrative action'). However, review is not available 'to the extent that . . . agency action is committed to agency discretion by law.' Id. § 701(a)(2). This exception to the availability of judicial review 'applies only in those rare instances where statutes are drawn in such broad terms that in a given case there is no law to apply.' Sharkey v. Quarantillo, 541 F.3d 75, 91 (2d Cir. 2008) (internal quotation marks omitted). County of Westchester v. U. S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, (2nd Cir. 2015). [Courts] may only set aside [agency] actions if [the agency] acted arbitrarily or capriciously, not in accordance with the law, beyond its jurisdictional authority, or 'without observance of procedure required by law.' 5 U.S.C. § 706(2)(A), (C), (D). WildEARTH Guardians v. US Fish and Wildlife Service, (10th Cir. 2015). That test is met when an agency fails to consider 'the relevant data' or fails to put forth 'a rational connection between that data and its decision.' WildEarth Guardians v. Nat'l Park Serv., 703 F.3d 1178, 1182-83 (10th Cir. 2013). It is also met when the agency 'entirely failed to consider an important aspect of the problem, offered an explanation for its decision that runs counter to the evidence before the agency, or is so implausible that it could not be ascribed to a difference in view or the product of agency expertise.' Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n of U.S., Inc. v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 463 U.S. 29, 43 (1983). WildEARTH Guardians v. US Fish and Wildlife Service, *ibid*. To prevail, an 'agency must 'examine the relevant data and articulate a satisfactory explanation for its action including a rational connection between the facts found and the choice made.'' Nat'l Shooting Sports Found., Inc. v. Jones, 716 F.3d 200, 214 (D.C. Cir. 2013) (quoting Motor Vehicle Mfrs. Ass'n v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co., 463 U.S. 29, 43 (1983) (quotation marks omitted)). 'To be regarded as rational, an

agency must also consider significant alternatives to the course it ultimately chooses." Allied Local & Reg'l Mfrs. Caucus v. EPA, 215 F.3d 61, 80 (D.C. Cir. 2000). [Courts] will reverse when agency action is "based on speculation," Jones, 716 F.3d at 214, or when the agency did not "engage the arguments raised before it," NorAm Gas Transmission Co. v. FERC, 148 F.3d 1158, 1165 (D.C. Cir. 1998) (quoting K N Energy, Inc. v. FERC, 968 F.2d 1295, 1303 (D.C. Cir. 1992)). Delaware Department of Natural Resources And Environmental Control v. EPA, (DC Cir. 2015) . . .

## Book Information

File Size: 15228 KB

Print Length: 9560 pages

Simultaneous Device Usage: Unlimited

Publisher: LandMark Publications (September 20, 2015)

Publication Date: September 20, 2015

Sold by: Digital Services LLC

Language: English

ASIN: B015NO4KQC

Text-to-Speech: Enabled

X-Ray: Not Enabled

Word Wise: Enabled

Lending: Not Enabled

Screen Reader: Supported

Enhanced Typesetting: Enabled

Best Sellers Rank: #2,284,450 Paid in Kindle Store (See Top 100 Paid in Kindle Store) #26 in Kindle Store > Kindle eBooks > Law > Administrative Law > Public Utilities #65 in Books > Law > Administrative Law > Public Utilities #973836 in Kindle Store > Kindle eBooks > Nonfiction

[Download to continue reading...](#)

The Arbitrary and Capricious Standard Under the APA (Litigator Series) APA Made Easy: Revised and Updated for the APA 6th Edition APA: The Easy Way! [Updated for APA 6th Edition] The APA Pocket Handbook: Rules for Format & Documentation [Conforms to 6th Edition APA] Capricious Fancy: Draping and Curtaining the Historic Interior, 1800-1930 Arbitrary Stupid Goal The U.S.-Mexico Border: The Treaty Of Guadalupe Hidalgo (Arbitrary Borders) The Division of the Middle East: The Treaty of Sevres (Arbitrary Borders) 2016 ICD-10-CM Standard Edition, 2016 HCPCS Standard Edition and AMA 2016 CPT Standard Edition Package, 1e Free Appropriate

Public Education: IEPs and the IDEA (Litigator Series) Regulation of Power Generation (Litigator Series) The Regulation of Natural Gas (Litigator Series) Electric Utilities (Litigator Series) Natural Gas Act (Litigator Series) Horizontal Restraints: Federal Court of Appeals Decisions (Litigator Series) Bankruptcy (Litigator Series) Sports Law: Contemporary Cases (Litigator Series) Federal Arbitration Act (Litigator Series) The Science of Persuasion: A Litigator's Guide to Juror Decision-Making W21TB - Standard of Excellence Book Only - Book 1 - Trombone (Standard of Excellence Series)

[Contact Us](#)

[DMCA](#)

[Privacy](#)

[FAQ & Help](#)